Reports Analysis Division (RAD) Review Process
RAD Mission Statement

The ultimate mission of the Reports Analysis Division (RAD) is to ensure that campaigns and political committees file timely and accurate reports that fully disclose their financial activities. RAD is responsible for reviewing statements and financial reports filed by political committees participating in Federal elections, providing assistance and guidance to the committees to properly file their reports, and for taking appropriate action to ensure compliance with the FECA. By enforcing the rules in a fair and objective manner, RAD fosters the electorate’s faith in the ultimate integrity of the nation’s political process.
Organization of RAD

4 Branches

- Party Non-Party Branch – 24 analysts
  - Review all Party and PAC reports
  - 4-5 Month training program and mentored for 6-12 months

- Authorized Branch – 17 analysts
  - Review all federal candidate committee reports
  - 2 Month training program and mentored for 6-12 months

- Compliance Branch – 4 analysts
  - Implement the non-filer and Administrative Fine programs

- Reports Processing Branch – 14 team members
  - Prepare incoming FEC paper reports for scanning
  - Handle the coding process to ensure that itemized transactions are properly entered and categorized in the FEC database

A. Organization of RAD
1. Four Branches
   a) **Party/NonParty Branch** – review all party committees and PAC reports – 24 analysts. New analysts undergo a 4-5 month training process and are then mentored by a more Senior analyst for 6 to 12 months.
   b) **Authorized Branch** – review federal candidate committees reports – 17 analysts. New analysts undergo a 2 month training process and are then mentored by a more Senior analyst for 6 to 12 months.
   c) **Compliance Branch** – serve a quality control function for the review branches and implement the non-filer and Administrative Fine programs – 4 analysts
   d) **Reports Processing Branch** - Prepare incoming FEC paper reports for scanning, and handle the coding process to ensure that itemized transactions are properly entered and categorized in the FEC database. 14 team members.
2. **Committee Assignments – Party/Non-Party Branch**
   a) Party/NonParty Branch analysts are assigned anywhere from 400 to 500 committees (parties and PACs).
   b) PACs are assigned randomly with the larger ones being assigned to more senior analysts.
   c) National Committees are assigned to the more Senior analysts, State Party committees are assigned by state, so that the assigned analyst reviews both the Democratic and Republican state parties, Local parties are assigned randomly.
3. **Committee Assignments – Authorized Branch**
   a) Authorized Branch analysts are assigned anywhere from 250 to 400 committees (House, Senate, Presidential, Delegate, Joint Fundraising committees, Independent Expenditure filers that are not political committees and Electioneering Communication filers).
   b) House and Senate Campaign committees are assigned by state
   c) Presidential and Delegate committees are assigned to more senior analysts.
   d) All others are assigned randomly.
4. **Analyst Responsibilities**

a) Review all reports filed by assigned committees by established deadlines.

b) Customer service role – assist committees on the phone on a daily basis and log phone calls. Meet with Committees by request. Participate in FEC webinars.

c) Special Projects (e.g., YouTube tutorials and testing of FECfile software).
RAD Review & Referral Procedures

- 30 Categories of review include:
  - Mathematical Discrepancies
  - Failure to Provide Supporting Schedules
  - Failure to Properly Itemize Contributions from Individuals

- RFAI threshold

- Thresholds in Procedures are redacted

- Procedures are approved by the Commission

B. RAD Review of Reports

1. RAD Review and Referral Procedures
   a) Internal policy contains thirty categories of review the analyst checks, such as: Mathematical Discrepancies, Failure to Provide Supporting Schedules and Failure to Properly Itemize Contributions from Individuals, to name a few.
   b) Procedures have established thresholds for making determination on whether to send a Request for Additional Information (RFAI).
   c) While the Procedures are publicly available on the FEC web page (http://www.fec.gov/law/procedural_materials.shtml), the thresholds have been redacted.
   d) Procedures are approved by the Commission for every two-year election cycle.
Review of Reports

Thresholds applied on a per report basis

• Committee may receive RFAI on same issue already addressed in response to RFAI from earlier report

• Exceptions: Responses relating to best efforts procedures apply for the two year election cycle; responses relating to foreign address inquiries that indicate safe harbor guidelines are followed for all contributions apply for the two year election cycle

  e) Review is conducted on a per report basis, meaning the thresholds are applied to each report reviewed.
  1) This means a committee may receive a RFAI which includes the same issue already addressed in response to a RFAI referencing a different report.
     • Exceptions include outlining Best Efforts procedures which would apply to the two-year cycle and responses relating to foreign address inquiries that indicate safe harbor guidelines are followed for all contributions apply for the two year election cycle.
Several issues may combine to meet a single threshold, so it’s possible to see an issue questioned on one report, but not on another.

Commission reassesses procedures every election cycle and revises it based on input from RAD and other offices (such as OGC) and Commissioners.

2) There may be several issues that are aggregated together to meet a single threshold, so it’s possible to see an issue questioned on one report that isn’t included in an RFAI on for another report.

f) Procedures are reassessed every election cycle and revisions/change made based on input from RAD and other offices (such as OGC), and Commissioners.
C. Request for Additional Information (RFAI)

1. If internal thresholds are met an RFAI is sent, with a “Response Due Date” in the upper right hand corner of the letter, extensions are not granted. The committee analyst’s name and contact telephone number are also provided in the letter.

2. Tip: You can find out who your analyst is by visiting: http://www.fec.gov/rad/index.shtml
RFAIs via Email

- RAD is now emailing RFAIs to email address on Form 1 (Statement of Organization).
  - Opt-Out Option: File a Form 99 to request that RFAIs be mailed via USPS.
- Committees can now list **up to two** email addresses on Form 1.
- Ensure current contact information (mailing address, **email address**, and phone number) appear on FEC Form 1.

D. **RFAI via email**

1. Most RFAIs are now sent via email to the Committee’s official email address, as disclosed on the Statement of Organization (FEC Form 1).
2. Up to two email addresses can now be provided (both will be used for emailing RFAIs).
3. Committees have the option to continue to receive RFAIs on paper through the mail.
Important: Request for Additional Information from the Federal Election Commission
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Responding to RFAIs

- Analysts do not contact committees in every case when a response is not sufficient
- Committee should contact its analyst before and/or after filing a response
- Analysts do not make legal conclusions
- Analysts cannot categorize your activity
- In some cases, RAD consults OGC before sending an RFAI and when assessing a committee’s response
E. **Responses are assessed by the analysts and in some cases, team leaders.**
   1. Analysts do not reply to responses.
   2. Contact is not made with committees in every case when a response is not sufficient.
   3. Committees are encouraged to contact their assigned analyst prior to responding if unsure about how to respond or after a response is filed to ensure an adequate response is received.
   4. Keep in mind that analysts can’t make legal conclusions or give guidance on a legal conclusion being made by a committee. In addition, they cannot determine what category your activity falls under (i.e., independent expenditures or coordinated party expenditures).
   5. In some cases, RAD consults with OGC before sending a RFAI and when making a response assessment.

### Responding to RFAIs

- **File amendment to:**
  - Add, Change or Delete actual entries on FEC report

- **Use miscellaneous text submission** (Form 99) for:
  - Narrative responses that do not affect actual entries within a report
    - (e.g., demonstrating best efforts, demonstrating safe harbor guidelines are followed for all contributions with a foreign address)

F. **Must amend report when changing information that affects actual entries on a report.**
   1. This would include additions, changes or deletions.

G. **Miscellaneous Text Submission (Form 99)**
   1. Used for narrative responses that do not affect actual entries within a report. (For example: when outlining procedures for “Best Efforts” in obtaining contributor information.)
Audit Consideration Factors

- Level of financial activity
- Responses to RFAIs
  - Late or no response
  - Inadequate response
- Election Results (Authorized Committees only)
- Number of amendments filed is NOT a factor
- Number of RFAIs received is NOT a factor if responses were adequate and timely

H. Referrals to the Audit Division
1. Factors for making referrals to the Audit Division:
   a) Level of financial activity;
   b) Responses to RFAIs:
      (i) Late or no response.
      (ii) Inadequate response.
   c) Election Results (Authorized committees only)
2. The number of amendments filed is not a factor.
3. The number of RFAIs is not a factor if responded to adequately and on time.
OGC & ADRO Referrals

- Procedures include referral thresholds
- RAD calls committee before referring to OGC or ADRO to explain RFAI and request response
- Adequate and timely response may prevent referral

I. Referrals to OGC (Office of General Counsel), ADRO (Alternative Dispute Resolution Office) and AF (Administrative Fine Program)
1. Internal procedures include thresholds for determining whether a matter should be referred to OGC, ADRO or AF
2. Committee will receive a phone call from RAD prior to a referral to ADRO or OGC to explain RFAI and request a response.
3. An adequate response is required by the timeframe given to prevent the matter from being referred.
J. Committees should ensure that they have provided the most current mailing address, email address and phone number on their Statement of Organization (FEC Form 1). Often RFAIs are bounced back due to an invalid email address or returned by the Post Office due to an incorrect mailing address. RAD began sending RFAIs via e-mail in October 2011 and non-filer notifications in February 2013.
RAD FAQs Web Page - http://www.fec.gov/rad

RAD Contact Numbers
202-694-1130 or 1-800-424-9530 (press 5)

We encourage you to call your Campaign Finance Analyst for assistance.

We are here to help!