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Publications

Latest Disclosure Directory of Federal and State Election Offices Available

The Combined Federal/State Disclosure and Election Directory 1998, which lists national and state offices responsible for public disclosure of financial- and election-related filings for candidates and officeholders, is now available.

The publication contains information concerning state responsibilities in the areas of campaign finances, candidates on the ballot, election results, lobbying, personal finances, public financing, spending on state initiatives and other financial filings.

This year’s edition of the annual directory includes an expanded list of national associations concerned with election administration. The directory also includes e-mail and home page addresses for agencies that have a presence on the Internet. Agencies with on-line access to the FEC’s database are noted.

The directory is available at the FEC’s web site—http://www.fec.gov—and includes links to many of the other agencies listed in the publication. The web edition of the directory will be updated periodically throughout the year. The directory is also available on 3.5 inch diskettes for $3. Paper copies of the
(continued on page 2)

Budget

FEC Asks Congress for Funding to Increase Investigative Force

With a mounting caseload of investigations containing allegations of campaign finance improprieties, FEC Vice Chairman Scott Thomas advised Congress that “the time has come to, in essence, put more cops on the beat.”

Mr. Thomas, who also heads the agency’s finance committee, testified before the House Appropriations Subcommittee on Treasury, Postal Service and General Government at a budget hearing March 19. He presented the committee with the FEC’s fiscal year 1999 budget request of $36.5 million and told the panel that the Commission would use the money to hire more staff to deal with the increased enforcement caseload. “Our main message is simple: we need more staff to do a better job of ensuring compliance with existing laws,” he said.

The budget request, if approved, would amount to a 15 percent increase over the FEC’s current budget of $31.6 million and would allow the FEC to increase its work force to 360 staff members, adding 47 positions. Thirty-seven of those positions would bolster the Commission’s compliance program.
(continued on page 2)
Internet Guide for Election Offices Available

The latest publication from the FEC’s Office of Election Administration is a guide for state and local election offices on how to put campaign finance information on the Internet. *Innovations in Election Administration 16: Using the Internet in Election Offices* provides a primer on the Internet’s history and frequently-used terms. It also discusses the benefits for state and local election offices that set up sites on the web. People requesting information by telephone can be directed to the web site. According to the publication, many callers with web access actually prefer this method to faxing.

The publication also lists the steps for setting up a web page—from securing the proper hardware to presenting data in an attractive fashion. The document includes information about outfitting the web site with voter registration instructions and voter education materials, and it provides a number of Internet addresses for state and local election web pages that may prove useful as models.

For those who run into difficulties, there is a section that addresses common problems and suggests online and community resources that can provide assistance.

The appendices include a collection of listservs and Internet mailing lists for organizations involved with election administration and government issues.

Copies of the publication are available from the election administration office (call 800/424-9530, press 5 and ask to be transferred to the office).

Budget

Mr. Thomas acknowledged that in recent years congressional budget committees have required the FEC to use funding increases to improve its computerization efforts and make campaign finance data more readily accessible to the public. He stated that, while the Commission has endorsed that approach, “it is imperative to have both modern technology and more staff if the laws on the books are to mean anything.” He added: “Without adequate staff to enforce existing disclosure requirements and contribution restrictions, reliable disclosure will fade, and contributions of any amount from any source may become the norm.”

Mr. Thomas listed several causes for concern:

- The perception among the regulated community and the public is that there are too many committees bending or breaking campaign finance laws without reservation. “Part of the problem seems to be a growing awareness that the Federal Election Commission simply cannot handle all of the enforcement cases placed in its lap,” Mr. Thomas said.
- The FEC can assign the equivalent of only 24 attorneys to its pending case load (162 cases involving over 1,500 respondents as of March 2). By comparison, the Department of Justice reportedly has 125 staffers conducting its investigation into campaign finance irregularities during the 1996 election cycle.
- The number of respondents in administrative complaints reported to the FEC has grown dramatically. For example, while the average pending caseloads in 1995 and 1997 stayed the same, the number of respondents in those cases grew from 1,636 in 1995 to 2,039 in 1997, an almost 25 percent jump. Five of the Commission’s current cases involve a total of 222 respondents. These five cases alone are anticipated to generate more than 2 million pages of documents. “We do not think this is an anomaly; it is indicative of a long-term trend,” Mr. Thomas said.
- Recent court decisions have made the resolution of enforcement cases more staff intensive for the FEC. The U.S. Supreme Court decision in *FEC v. Colorado Republican Federal Campaign Committee*, for example, has forced FEC staff to investigate whether party committees coordinate their activities with their own candidates.

“The heart of our request is a plea to beef up our compliance program,” Mr. Thomas said.

A news release about the FEC’s budget request is available at [http://www.fec.gov](http://www.fec.gov).
**Court Cases**

**Stockman v. FEC**

On March 27, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit sustained a lower court’s ruling in this case for the FEC, but it based the dismissal on lack of jurisdiction rather than on the merits.

Former Congressman Stephen E. Stockman was a respondent in an administrative complaint the FEC received concerning a newspaper that was published at Mr. Stockman’s residence and campaign headquarters during the 1994 primary election season. In February 1996, Mr. Stockman asked the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Beaumont Division, to direct the FEC to dismiss the administrative complaint because, among other reasons, the FEC allegedly had unreasonably delayed its investigation and FEC personnel allegedly had leaked information about the investigation to the press, in violation of statutory and regulatory confidentiality requirements.

In decisions rendered in June and August 1996, the district court rejected Mr. Stockman’s arguments. While the district court found that it had jurisdiction over the delay claim, it ruled that the delay in the investigation was not unreasonable in light of the FEC’s workload and lack of resources. The district court dismissed Mr. Stockman’s breach-of-confidentiality claim for lack of jurisdiction because Mr. Stockman had failed to follow FEC procedures for resolving such a claim. In the alternative, the court found that there was no factual basis for Mr. Stockman’s allegations of press leaks by the FEC. See page 2 of the October 1996 Record. Mr. Stockman then appealed the case.

The appellate court, citing 2 U.S.C. §437g(a)(8), concluded that the Federal Election Campaign Act (the Act) does not create a cause of action for a delay claim by an administrative respondent (as opposed to the person who files the complaint). Section 437g(a)(8), the court pointed out, states that only an administrative complainant who is aggrieved by the FEC’s failure to act may petition for judicial relief, and then only in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. The court further held that Mr. Stockman’s delay claim could not be based on the Administrative Procedure Act, which does not apply where the underlying statute precludes judicial review. The court found that the Act precludes judicial review of delay claims by plaintiffs, like Mr. Stockman, who were not administrative complainants and did not file suit in the District of Columbia. The district court therefore lacked jurisdiction, the court of appeals held, over Mr. Stockman’s delay claim.

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, 96-40994; U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Beaumont Division, 1:95-CV-1049.◆

**Advisory Opinions**

**Advisory Opinion Requests**

Advisory opinion requests are available for review and comment in the Public Records Office.

**AOR 1998-6**

Restricted class status of executive and administrative personnel of foreign corporation and its domestic subsidiaries (Bacardi-Martini USA, Inc., March 24, 1998; 9 pages)

**AOR 1998-7**

Application of FECA exemption for political party office building fund to construction of multiple state party office facilities and adjacent public parking lot (Pennsylvania Democratic Party, April 3, 1998; 9 pages)

**AOR 1998-8**

Preemption of Iowa law prohibiting corporate contributions to party building fund (Iowa Democratic Party, April 7, 1998; 4 pages plus 7-page attachment)◆

**New Mexico Special General Election Reporting**

Committees involved in the June 23 Special Election to fill the 1st Congressional District seat held by the late Congressman Steve Schiff must follow the reporting schedule below. Note that 48-hour notices are required of authorized committees that receive contributions (including loans) of $1,000 or more between June 4 and June 20.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Close of Books</th>
<th>Certified/Registered Mail Date</th>
<th>Filing Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-General</td>
<td>June 3</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>June 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July Quarterly</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>Waived</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-General</td>
<td>July 13</td>
<td>July 23</td>
<td>July 23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 These committees include authorized committees of candidates running in the election and other political committees that support these candidates and do not file monthly.
Year-End Reports from National Party Committees Show GOP Still Leads in Money Race

Heading into the final stretch of the congressional mid-term election cycle, Republican national committees have outpaced their Democratic counterparts in federal contributions by a large margin. While the tallies in soft money were more comparable, Republican committees bested the Democrats in raising nonfederal funds as well, according to Year-End reports for 1997.

The Republican national committees—consisting of the Republican National Committee, National Republican Senatorial Committee and National Republican Congressional Committee—and Republican party federal committees on the state and local levels raised $108.8 million between January 1 and December 31, and spent $99.7 million. The committees reported cash on hand at the end of the year at $10.8 million and debts reaching $17.3 million.

The receipts for both parties declined from the same period in 1995—a Presidential election cycle—but, when compared to 1993, the last Nonpresidential election cycle, the Republicans increased their receipts by 14 percent while the Democrats increased their receipts by 26 percent.

**Soft Money Increases a Record for Both Parties**

The Republican national committees raised $40.2 million for their soft money accounts, a 22 percent increase over 1995. The Democratic national committees raised $33.9 million in soft money, representing a 19 percent increase over 1995. Soft money refers to funds that are raised outside the limitations and prohibitions of the Federal Election Campaign Act. Such funds cannot be used to pay for expenditures related to federal elections, but may be used to pay for nonfederal election activity. The FEC began requiring that national party committees disclose information about their soft money accounts in January 1991.

Information about the Democratic and Republican party committees’ Year-End reports is included in a March 19 new release. The release, which includes statistical information dating back to the 1990 election cycle, is available:

- At the FEC’s web site at [http://www.fec.gov](http://www.fec.gov) (click on “News Releases and Media Advisories” at the main menu);
- From the Public Records Office (call 800/424-9530 and press 3); and
- By fax (call FEC Faxline at 202/501-3413 and request document 609).

The charts on pages 4 and 5 are based on data taken from this news release. To view digital images of the party committees’ reports submitted to the Commission, visit the FEC’s web site at the address listed above and click on “View Financial Reports Filed by Presidential and House Campaigns, Parties, and PACs.”

---

### Party Committees’ Federal Activity During Nonelection Years

Democratic totals are shown without shading; Republican totals are shown in blue areas. All totals are in millions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1989</th>
<th>1991</th>
<th>1993</th>
<th>1995</th>
<th>1997</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Receipts</td>
<td>$33.0</td>
<td>$96.0</td>
<td>$37.0</td>
<td>$95.8</td>
<td>$51.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements</td>
<td>$34.5</td>
<td>$88.1</td>
<td>$37.0</td>
<td>$87.4</td>
<td>$49.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash on Hand</td>
<td>$6.6</td>
<td>$16.9</td>
<td>$3.8</td>
<td>$7.2</td>
<td>$5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debts</td>
<td>$5.0</td>
<td>$2.5</td>
<td>$3.4</td>
<td>$4.7</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contributors to National Party Committees in 1997

* Denotes transfers of funds from these committees to national party committees.

Hard Money and Soft Money Collected by Party Committees During 1997


Democrats
Republicans
**Information**

**FEC Faxline Menu**

FEC Faxline documents may be ordered 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, by calling **202/501-3413** on a touch tone phone. You will be asked for the numbers of the documents you want, your fax number and your telephone number. The documents will be faxed shortly thereafter.

**Federal Election Commission**

411. Complete Menu of All Material Available
501. The FEC and the Federal Campaign Finance Law
502. La Ley Federal relativa al Financiamiento de las Campañas
503. Federal and State Campaign Finance Laws
504. Compliance with Laws Outside the FEC’s Jurisdiction
505. Biographies of Commissioners and Officers
506. Telephone Directory
507. Table of Organization
508. Index for 1996 Record Newsletter
509. Free Publications
510. Personnel Vacancy Announcements
511. Freedom of Information Act Requesters’ Guide
512. Legal Opportunities at the FEC

**Disclosure**

521. Guide to Researching Public Records
522. Accessibility of Public Records Office
523. Federal/State Records Offices
524. Using FEC Campaign Finance Information
525. State Computer Access to FEC Data
526. Direct Access Program (DAP)
527. Sale and Use of Campaign Information
528. Combined Federal/State Disclosure Directory 1997 on Disk
529. Selected Political Party Organizations and Addresses
530. Internet Access to the FEC
531. Downloadable Databases via the Internet
532. Electronic Filing Took Kit
533. State Campaign Finance and Lobbying Data on the Internet

**Limitations**

546. Contributions
547. Coordinated Party Expenditure Limits
548. Advances: Contribution Limits and Reporting
549. Volunteer Activity
550. Independent Expenditures
551. Local Party Activity
552. Corporate Communications/Facilities
553. Trade Associations
554. Foreign Nationals
555. The $25,000 Annual Contribution Limit
556. Personal Use of Campaign Funds
557. Delegate Activity
558. Partnerships

**Public Funding**

566. Public Funding of Presidential Elections
567. The $3 Tax Checkoff
568. 1993 Changes to Checkoff
569. Recipients of Public Funding
570. Presidential Fund Income Tax Checkoff Status
571. Presidential Spending Limits

**Compliance**

581. Candidate Registration
582. Committee Treasurers
583. Special Notices on Political Ads and Solicitations
584. 10 Questions from Candidates
585. Filing a Complaint
586. 1998 Reporting Dates
587. 1998 Congressional Primary Dates
588. 1998 Special Election Reporting Dates
589. 1998 FEC Regional Conference Schedule

**Money in Politics Statistics**

601. 1991-2 Political Money
602. 1997 Mid-Year PAC Count
603. 1993-4 Congressional
604. 1993-4 National Party
605. 1993-4 PAC Finances
606. 1995-6 Congressional
607. 1995-6 National Party
608. 1995-6 PAC Finances
609. 1997-8 National Party
610. 1997-8 Congressional

**1996 Presidential**

651. 1996 Presidential Primary Dates
652. Selected 1996 Campaign Names and Addresses
653. Selected 1996 Campaign Finance Figures
654. 1996 Public Funding Certifications and Payments
655. 1996 Presidential General Election Ballots
656. 1996 Presidential General Election Results

**Office of Election Administration**

701. List of Reports Available
702. Voting Accessibility for the Elderly and Handicapped Act
703. National Voter Registration Act Regulations
704. National Voter Registration Act of 1993
705. The Electoral College
706. Organizational Structure of the American Election System
707. Primary Functions of an Electoral System

**Forms**

801. Form 1, Statement of Organization
802. Form 2, Statement of Candidacy
803. Form 3 and 3Z, Report for an Authorized Committee
804. Form 3X, Report for Other Than an Authorized Committee
805. Form 5, Report of Independent Expenditures
806. Form 6, 48-Hour Notice of Contributions/Loans Received
807. Form 7, Report of Communication Costs
808. Form 8, Debt Settlement Plan
809. Form 1M, Notification of Multicandidate Status

**Schedules**

825. Schedule A, Itemized Receipts
826. Schedule B, Itemized Disbursements
827. Schedules C and C-1, Loans
828. Schedule D, Debts and Obligations
829. Schedule E, Itemized Independent Expenditures
830. Schedule F, Itemized Coordinated Expenditures
831. Schedules H1 – H4, Allocation
832. Schedule I, Aggregate Page Nonfederal Accounts
Regulations (11 CFR Parts 100-201)
100. Part 100, Scope and Definitions
1007. Part 100.7, Contribution
1008. Part 100.8, Expenditure
101. Part 101, Candidate Status and Designations
102. Part 102, Registration, Organization and Recordkeeping by Political Committees
1021. Part 102.17, Joint Fundraising by Committees Other Than SSFs
103. Part 103, Campaign Depositories
104. Part 104, Reports by Political Committees
1047. Part 104.7, Best Efforts
105. Part 105, Document Filing
106. Part 106, Allocations of Contributions and Committee Activities
107. Part 107, Presidential Nominating Convention, Registration and Reports
108. Part 108, Filing Copies of Reports and Statements with State Offices
109. Part 109, Independent Expenditures
110. Part 110, Contribution and Expenditure Limitations and Prohibitions
1101. Part 110.1, Contributions by Persons Other Than Multi-candidate Political Committees
1102. Part 110.2, Contributions by Multicandidate Committees
1103. Part 110.3, Contribution Limitations for Affiliated Committees and Political Party Committees; Transfers
1104. Part 110.4, Prohibited Contributions
1105. Part 110.5, Annual Contribution Limitation for Individuals
1106. Part 110.6, Earmarked Contributions
1107. Part 110.7, Party Committee Expenditure Limitations
1108. Part 110.8, Presidential Candidate Expenditure Limitations
1109. Part 110.9, Miscellaneous Provisions
1110. Part 110.10, Expenditures by Candidates
1111. Part 110.11, Communications; Advertising
1112. Part 110.12, Candidate Appearances on Public Educational Institution Premises
1113. Part 110.13, Nonpartisan Candidate Debates
1114. Part 110.14, Contributions to and Expenditures by Delegates and Delegate Committees
1115. Part 111, Compliance Procedure
112. Part 112, Advisory Opinions
113. Part 113, Excess Campaign Funds and Funds Donated to Support Federal Officeholder Activities
114. Part 114, Corporate and Labor Organization Activity
115. Part 115, Federal Contractors
116. Part 116, Debts Owed by Candidates and Political Committees
200. Part 200, Petitions for Rulemaking
201. Part 201, Ex Parte Communications

Recent Actions on Regulations, Including Explanations and Justifications
227. Electronic Filing of Reports by Political Committees
228. Coordinated and Independent Expenditures by Party Committees
229. Definition of “Member” of a Membership Association
230. Petitions for Rulemaking: Soft Money
231. Recordkeeping and Reporting
232. Express Advocacy
233. Qualified Nonprofit Corporations

U.S. Code (Title 2)
431. Section 431
432. Section 432
433. Section 433
434. Section 434
437. Section 437
437g. Section 437g
438. Section 438
439. Section 439
440. Section 440
441. Section 441
441a. Section 441a
442. Section 442
443. Section 443
444. Section 444
444a. Section 444a
444b. Section 444b
444c. Section 444c
444d. Section 444d
444e. Section 444e
444f. Section 444f

Advisory Opinions
9701-23. AOs 1997-1 through 1997-23
9601-52. AOs 1996-1 through 1996-52
9501-49. AOs 1995-1 through 1995-49
9401-40. AOs 1994-1 through 1994-40
9301-25. AOs 1993-1 through 1993-25
9201-44. AOs 1992-1 through 1992-44
9101-40. AOs 1991-1 through 1991-40
9001-40. AOs 1990-1 through 1990-40

Index

The first number in each citation refers to the “number” (month) of the 1998 Record issue in which the article appeared. The second number, following the colon, indicates the page number in that issue. For example, “1:4” means that the article is in the January issue on page 4.

Advisory Opinions
1997-18: Status of committee as local party committee, 1:14
1997-22: Communicating membership corporation’s endorsements of federal candidates to members and their restricted classes, 1:14
1997-25: Affiliation of corporate SSFs after reorganization, 3:5
1997-26: Establishment of nonconnected PAC by individuals affiliated with trade association, 3:7
1997-27: Use of campaign funds to pay legal expenses, 4:7
1997-28: Reinstatement of terminated candidate committee to retire debt to candidate, 4:8
1997-29: Status as state committee of political party, 4:8
1998-1: Use of campaign funds to pay legal expenses, 4:8
1998-2: Status as national committee of political party; status of affiliated state parties as state committees of political party, 4:10
1998-3: Status as state committee of political party, 4:11

Court Cases
FEC v. _____
– Al Salvi for Senate Committee, 4:4
– Charles Woods for U.S. Senate, 3:3
– National Medical Political Action Committee, 1:3
– Williams, 1:3
_____ v. FEC
– Clifton, 4:5
– Hollenbeck, 4:4

(continued on page 8)
Index to PAC Abbreviations, Other PAC Publications Available

The Commission has published its latest edition of Pacronyms, an alphabetical compilation of acronyms, abbreviations and common names of political action committees (PACs).

For each PAC listed, the index provides the full name of the PAC, its city, state, FEC identification number and, if not identifiable from the full name, its connected, sponsoring or affiliated organization.

The index is helpful in identifying PACs that are not readily identified in their reports and statements on file with the FEC.

To order a free copy of Pacronyms, call the Office of Public Records at 800/424-9530 (press 3) or 202/219-4140. Pacronyms also is available on diskette for $1 and can be accessed free on the FEC’s web site—http://www.fec.gov.

Other PAC indexes, described below, may be ordered from the Office of Public Records. Prepayment is required.

- An alphabetical list of all registered PACs shows each PAC’s identification number, address, treasurer and connected organization ($13.25).
- A list of registered PACs arranged by state provides the same information as above ($13.25).
- An alphabetical list of organizations sponsoring PACs shows the PAC’s name and identification number ($7.50).